- d) One Jiminez Arms 9mm caliber pistol, with serial number 204855;
- e) One Taurus PT 1911 .45 caliber pistol, with serial number NGM94882;
- f) One Sig Sauer P320 pistol, with serial number 58B205003;
- g) One Smith & Wesson .44 Magnum caliber revolver, with serial number AWU4914;
- h) One F.LLI Pietta revolver, with serial number P123420;
- i) One Mod RG26 .25 caliber pistol, with serial number U105649;
- j) One Walther PK380 pistol, with serial number PK018647;
- k) One New Frontier LN-15 rifle, with serial number NLV58417;
- 1) One Knight Black Powder rifle .50 caliber, with serial number 431361;
- m) One Ruger .22 caliber rifle, with serial number 351-00955;
- n) One Norinco SKS rifle, with serial number 824;
- o) One Mossberg Model 88 12-gauge shotgun, with serial number MV51206S;
- p) One Extar EXP556 rifle, with serial number EP06637;
- q) One Ruger SP101 .357 Magnum caliber revolver, with serial number 576-57384;
- All firearm accessories seized on or about August 14, 2017, from the residence of Gregory L. Smith, located at 10090 Des Moines Memorial Drive South, Seattle, Washington;
- All ammunition seized on or about August 14, 2017, from the residence of Gregory L. Smith, located at 10090 Des Moines Memorial Drive South, Seattle, Washington;
- The following property seized on or about August 13, 2017, from the premises of 12555 37th Avenue NE, Seattle, Washington:
 - a) Approximately \$28,878 in U.S. currency;

- d) One silver bar stamped with "5 Troy ounces";
- e) Four yellow metal bars stamped with "1 Troy ounce";
- f) One yellow metal bar stamped with "1 Ounce";
- g) One yellow metal bar stamped with ".5g";
- h) Eight yellow metal bars stamped with "1g";
- i) One yellow metal bar stamped with "2.5g";
- j) One yellow metal bar stamped with "5g"; and
- k) One yellow metal bar stamped with "10g."

(collectively, the "Subject Property").

The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings filed in this matter, including the United States' Motion and supporting materials, hereby FINDS entry of a protective order restraining the Subject Property is appropriate because:

- The United States gave notice of its intent to pursue forfeiture in its Indictment and gave further notice of its intent to forfeit the Subject Property in its Forfeiture Bill of Particulars. Dkt. Nos. 13 and 47.
- Based on the facts set forth in Postal Inspector Nelson Rivera's affidavit, there is
 probable cause to believe that the Subject Property is subject to forfeiture in this
 case; and
- To ensure the Subject Property remains available for forfeiture, its continued restraint, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(e)(1) is appropriate.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

1. The United States' request for a protective order restraining the Subject Property pending the conclusion of this case is GRANTED; and

1	2. The Subject Property shall remain in the custody of the United States, to
2	include its federal agencies and/or their authorized agents or representatives, pending the
3	conclusion of criminal forfeiture proceedings and/or further order of this Court.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	DATED this _ /st day of March_2018.
7	
8	MUNCO
9	MN S Casuk
10	THE HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	Presented by:
13	
14	<u>s/ Neal B. Christiansen</u> Neal B. Christiansen
15	Assistant United States Attorney
16	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
17	Seattle, WA 98101-1271 Telephone: (206) 553-4169
18	E-mail: neal.christiansen2@usdoj.gov
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	·

28